501 770 1 060EEECTO: 085110014 5000:13be30 519FZ:80:119 1:2209:00:00 :095:1095 

## Registration Services Feedback

Andrea Cima RIPE NCC

RIPE 67 - Athens



### The Goal of This Update

- To report back to the RIPE community:
  - The feedback we receive from LIRs
  - Highlighting potential problem areas
- Asking for guidance on these topics
- Providing input to the community for policy discussion



- The outcome of past topics:
  - Re-assignment of referenced AS numbers
  - Transfer policy experience
  - Changing status from PI to PA
- Current topics
  - Unadvertised AS Numbers
  - Transfer of PI addresses



### Past Topics - Outcome



Andrea Cima, 16 October 2013

### Re-assignment of Referenced AS Numbers

- The RIPE NCC has ± 1,900 referenced 16-bit AS Numbers
  - Accumulated over the years, number increased during 2007-01 phase 3
- Because they are referenced, these AS numbers are currently not being reassigned
- At RIPE 66 and on APWG mailing list, you gave us a mandate to clean these references

https://labs.ripe.net/Members/denis/making-more-16-bit-asnumbers-available



### Transfers of Assigned Address Space

- Address space that is in use cannot be transferred
- This causes problems for organisations that:
  - 1. Received a PA assignment from an LIR
  - 2. Use the address space in their network and for their customers
  - 3. Become an LIR themselves
  - 4. Want to transfer this address space to their own LIR
- The result: policy proposal 2013-05, "No Restrictions on End User Assignments in Intra-RIR Transfers"



### Changing Status From PI to PA

- RS receives an increasing number of requests to turn PI assignments into PA allocations:
  - Organisations that received PI addresses and later became LIRs
  - LIRs that have taken over End User organisations with PI addresses
- Reasons for requests:
  - Network restructuring due to IPv4 scarcity
  - Need for increased flexibility of address space use (customer assignments, transfers etc.)



### Changing Status From PI to PA

- Initial solutions proposed:
  - A. Allow LIRs to change the status of their PI assignments into PA allocations (if equal or larger than the minimum allocation size)
  - B. Do not allow LIRs to change the status of their PI assignments into PA allocations
- APWG mailing list consensus seems to be in favour of:
  - Allowing LIRs to change the status of their PI assignments into PA allocations
  - Ignoring the minimum allocation size



### New Topics



Andrea Cima, 16 October 2013

#### Unadvertised AS Numbers

- The global supply of 16-bit AS Numbers is being exhausted
- There are ± 5000 assigned but unadvertised 16-bit AS Numbers in the RIPE region

"If an organisation no longer uses the AS Number, it must be returned to the public pool of AS Numbers."

- "Autonomous System (AS) Number Assignment Policies" (ripe-525)



### Unadvertised AS Numbers

- Potential options:
  - A. The RIPE NCC asks holders of unadvertised ASNs if these are unused. If this is the case, the holder is requested to start using the ASN within a reasonable timeframe (3 months?) or return it to the available pool
  - в. The RIPE NCC does not contact the holders of unadvertised ASNs



### Transfer of PI Addresses

- The RIPE NCC has noticed a sharp increase in requests for transfers of PI address blocks
- Policy does not support transfers of PI address space
  - "Any LIR is allowed to <u>re-allocate</u> complete or partial blocks of IPv4 address space that were previously <u>allocated</u> to them"
  - 2. "All assignments are valid as long as the original criteria on which the assignment was based are still valid (...) If an assignment is made for a specific purpose and that purpose no longer exists, the assignment is no longer valid."

http://www.ripe.net/ripe/docs/ripe-592



### Transfer of PI Addresses

- PI addresses can only be transferred through acquisition of an organisation or network or service
- There is a concern that this barrier may stop organisations from maintaining a current and accurate RIPE Registry



# Questions?



